BOUNDED NEGATIVITY OF SELF-INTERSECTION NUMBERS OF SHIMURA CURVES ON SHIMURA SURFACES

MARTIN MÖLLER AND DOMINGO TOLEDO

Abstract. Shimura curves on Shimura surfaces have been a candidate for counterexamples to the bounded negativity conjecture. We prove that they do not serve this purpose: there are only finitely many whose self-intersection number lies below a given bound.

Previously, this result has been shown in [BHK$^+$13] for compact Hilbert modular surfaces using the Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality. Our approach uses equidistribution and works uniformly for all Shimura surfaces.

Introduction

Let X be a Shimura surface not isogeneous to a product, i.e. an algebraic surface which is the quotient of a two dimensional Hermitian symmetric space G/K by an irreducible arithmetic lattice in G. The aim of this note is to show that Shimura curves on such a Shimura surface do not provide a counterexample to the bounded negativity conjecture. More precisely we show:

Theorem 0.1. *For any Shimura surface X not isogeneous to a product and for any real number M there are only finitely many compact Shimura curves C on X with $C^2 < M$.*

The bounded negativity conjecture claims that for any smooth projective algebraic surface X there is a positive constant B so that for any irreducible curve C on X the self-intersection $C^2 \geq -B$. We emphasize that the above theorem does not decide the validity on any Shimura surface, as there could exist non-Shimura curves with arbitrarily negative self-intersection.

There are two possibilities for the uniformization of X. The first case are Shimura surfaces uniformized by $\mathbb{H}^2$. In this case $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})^2$ and the surfaces are called *quaternionic Shimura surfaces* if Γ is cocompact and *Hilbert modular surfaces* if Γ has cusps. The second case are Shimura surfaces uniformized by the complex 2-ball $\mathbb{B}^2$. In this case $G = \mathrm{SU}(2,1)$ and the surfaces are called *Picard modular surfaces*. There are compact and non-compact Picard modular surfaces. The assumption on the Shimura surface is necessary, since the theorem is certainly false in the product situation e.g. for $X = X(d) \times X(d)$ a product of modular curves or a finite quotient of such a surface: the fibre classes give infinitely many curves with self-intersection zero.

While only the case of compact X is relevant to the bounded negativity conjecture, the proofs for non-compact X are the same. When both X and the curves C are allowed to have cusps the proper formulation is needed, see Theorem 3.6.

Theorem 0.1 was proven for compact Shimura surfaces uniformized by $\mathbb{H}^2$ in [BHK$^+$13]. The methods there, based on the logarithmic Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality, do not extend to the ball quotient case. Here we give a uniform treatment

Date: July 22, 2014.

The first named author is partially supported by the ERC-StG 257137. The second named author is partially supported by Simons Foundation Collaboration Grant 208853.

of both cases based on equidistribution results. As in loc. cit. we obtain as a consequence:

Corollary 0.2. *There are only finitely many Shimura curves on X that are smooth.*

Intersection numbers of Shimura curves are known to appear as coefficients of modular forms and coefficients of modular forms are known to grow. This, however, does not directly give a method to prove Theorem 0.1, since in these modularity statements ([HZ76], [Kud78]) the Shimura curves are packaged to reducible curves T_N with an unbounded number of components as $N \to \infty$, while the statement here is for every individual Shimura curve.

Acknowledgements. This note is the result of an MFO-miniworkshop "The bounded negativity conjecture". We thank the organizers of this workshop, and the MFO administration for simultaneously organizing a miniworkshop "Kähler groups", that brought the two authors together. We thank Alex Eskin for discussing details on equidistribution results, and Madhav Nori and Matthew Stover for discussions on algebraic group details. The second named author thanks Misha Gromov for a conversation in 1990 on the idea that Shimura curves approximate the Kähler class.

1. Shimura curves on Shimura surfaces not isogeneous to a product

An *Shimura surface not isogeneous to a product* is a connected algebraic surface that can be written as a quotient $X = \Gamma\backslash G/K$, where $G = G_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of $\mathbb{R}$-valued points in a connected semisimple $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic group $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, where $K \subset G$ is a maximal compact subgroup and where Γ is an irreducible arithmetic lattice in G. Here a lattice is called irreducible if it does not have a finite index subgroup that splits as a product of two lattices.

Our geometric definition of Shimura varieties differs from the arithmetic literature on this subject where Shimura varieties are typically not connected. It is the point of view of the bounded negativity conjecture that requires to deal with irreducible components of the objects in question. Note that we do not require Γ to be a congruence subgroup either.

Definition. *A* Shimura curve *C is an algebraic curve in X which is given as follows. There exists a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic group $H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ containing an arithmetic lattice Δ and admitting a $\mathbb{Q}$-morphism $\tau : H_{\mathbb{Q}} \to G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $\tau(\Delta) \subset \Gamma$, such that τ-preimage of a maximal compact subgroup $K \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a maximal compact subgroup $K_H \subset H = H_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R})$ and $C = \Delta\backslash H/K_H$.*

The aim of this section is to compile the list of possible constructions of Shimura surfaces that contain infinitely many Shimura curves and the possible pairs $(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H_{\mathbb{Q}})$. This will be used in the equidistribution theorem in the next section. More precisely, we need that all Shimura curves can be generated as the orbit of a fixed subgroup. For this purpose we write $G = G_0 \times W$ with W compact and G_0 without compact factors. There is a corresponding decomposition of the compact subgroup $K = K_0 \times W$ and also for the Shimura curve $H = H_0 \times W_H$ and $K_H = K_{H,0} \times W_H$.

It turns out that there are only two possibilities for G_0 and for each of them, we can construct all Shimura curves as follows.

Proposition 1.1. *For a given Shimura surface $X = \Gamma\backslash G_0/K_0 = \Gamma\backslash G/K$ not isogeneous to a product there exists subgroup $H_0 \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ of G_0 such that all Shimura curves arise as $C = \Gamma\backslash\Gamma g H_0/K_{H_0}$ for some $g \in G_0$.*

We start with the possibilities for G_0. There are only two hermitian symmetric domains of dimension two. This leads to the following two cases, as in the introduction. In each case we give a description of the possible Shimura surfaces. Here, and elsewhere, the description of the algebraic groups in question will always be given only up to central isogeny.

Case One, $G_0 = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})^2$**:** There two possibilities. Either G is the set of $\mathbb{R}$-points of the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic group $G_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathrm{SL}_2(A))$ for a quaternion algebra A over a totally real field F which is unramified at exactly two infinite places of F or G is the product $\mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathrm{SL}_2(A_1)) \times \mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathrm{SL}_2(A_2))$ for two quaternion algebras, each unramified at exactly at one infinite place. For the proofs, first remark that these give F-forms of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})^2$, see, e,g, [Vig80, IV.1]. That these are the only possibilities follows from the classifcation of algebraic groups [Tit66]. In more detail, the procedure of [Tit66, §3.1] reduces the problem to the classification of F-forms of SL_2. The description in [Ser94, III.1.4] of the F-forms of SL_2 in bijective correspondence with quaternion algebras over F gives the above description of the algebraic groups. In both cases, the maximal compact subgroup K in G is $\mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})^2$ times the compact factors of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$.

In the product case, all lattices are reducible, so we can discard this case in view of our irreducibility hypothesis on X. In the remaining case, in order obtain an arithmetic lattice $\Gamma \subset G$ one has to fix an order $\mathfrak{O} \subset A$ and let $\mathfrak{O}^1 \subset \mathfrak{O}$ be the elements of reduced norm 1. Then Γ is the image in G of a group commensurable to $\mathfrak{O}^1$. See e.g. [Vig80] for more details.

Case Two, $G_0 = \mathrm{SU}(2,1)$**:** In this case, the underlying $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic group is $G_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{Res}_{F_0/\mathbb{Q}}(G_{F_0}))$, and, from the classification of algebraic groups (over number fields), see [Tit66, PR94], we see that, in the notation of p. 55 of [Tit66], G_{F_0} must be of type ${}^2A_{2,r}^{(d)}$, where $d|3$, $d \geq 1$, $2rd \leq 3$. In other words, $G_{F_0} = SU(h)$ where h is a hermitian form constructed as follows. Start with a totally real field F_0 and take a totally complex quadratic extension F/F_0, i.e. F is a CM field. Then take a central simple division algebra D of degree d (hence dimension d^2) over F, with center F and involution σ of the second kind (not the identity on F), and a hermitian form h on $D^{3/d}$ so that h is isotropic at one real place of F_0 and definite at all other real places (equivalently, isotropic at one conjugate pair of complex places of F, definite at all other pairs).

Thus there are two "types" corresponding to the two possibilities $d = 1$ or $d = 3$:

The *first type* means that $d = 1$. Then $D = F$ and h is a hermitian form on F^3 that is definite except for one pair of places of F, interchanged by complex conjugation. Then $\mathrm{SU}(h)$ is indeed a F_0-algebraic group and the set of $\mathbb{R}$-valued points of $\mathrm{Res}_{F_0/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathrm{SU}(h))$ equals G up to compact factors. The compact subgroup K in G is $S(U(2) \times U(1))$ times the compact factors of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$. Arithmetic lattices Γ *of the first type* are obtained by fixing an order $\mathfrak{O} \subset F$ and taking Γ commensurable to $G \cap \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathfrak{O})$. The integer r above satisfying $2rd \leq 3$ is the F_0-rank of G_{F_0}, or the dimension of the maximal isotropic subspace of h in F^3. The lattice is co-compact if and only if $r = 0$, and $r = 1$ forces $F_0 = \mathbb{Q}$.

The *second type* means that $d = 3$, thus D is central simple division algebra of degree 3 (dimension 9) over F with an involution "of the second kind". The lattices Γ are obtained by fixing an order $\mathfrak{O} \subset D$ and taking Γ commensurable with $G \cap SL(D)$. Observe that in this case the inequality $2rd \leq 3$ forces $r = 0$ and therefore Γ is always co-compact. We will see that lattices of the second type do not have any Shimura curves, so we will not need to consider them.

Shimura curves in X **for** $G_0 = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})^2$. The Shimura curves in X are totally geodesic complex curves in X, so they are projections to X of totally geodesic holomorphic disks $\mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{H}^2$, which in turn are orbits of embeddings of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})^2$. It is well known that, up to biholomorphic isometries, there are only two classes of such disks: factors and diagonals. By the irreducibility hypothesis, the inclusion into one factor does not come from a morphism of the underlying $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic groups. So $H_0 \subset G_0$ has to be the diagonal embedding, proving Proposition 1.1 in this case. In fact, the possible embeddings are discussed in great detail in [vdG88] for Hilbert modular surfaces and in [Gra02] for quaternionic Shimura surfaces.

Shimura curves in X for $G_0 = \mathrm{SU}(2,1)$. Fix a Shimura surface X obtained by choosing $F_0, F, d, D, \sigma, h, \mathfrak{O} \subset D, \Gamma$. The Shimura curves, being totally geodesic complex curves, are projections to X of orbits in the universal cover of subgroups $H \subset G_0$, all isomorphic to $SU(1,1)$ and standardly embedded in $SU(2,1)$. The image in X of an H-orbit is a Shimura curve if and only if $H \cap \Gamma$ is a lattice in H. This happens if and only if H is defined over F_0, meaning that the underlying algebraic group G_{F_0} contains an F_0-subgroup H_{F_0} so that, if $\iota : F_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ is the embedding of F_0 with group of real points $G_{F_0,\iota}(\mathbb{R})$ isomorphic to G_0, the inclusion $H_{F_0,\iota}(\mathbb{R}) \subset G_{F_0,\iota}(\mathbb{R})$ agrees with $H \subset G_0$. There are two cases:

No Shimura curves in Shimura surfaces of the second type: The group $SU(h)$, for h a hermitian form on a central simple division algebra D over F of degree three as above, has no subgroup H_{F_0} defined over F_0 with $H_{F_0}(\mathbb{R}) = SU(1,1)$ standardly embedded in $SU(h)(\mathbb{R}) = SU(2,1)$.

This is well-known to experts, but we do not know a reference (but see [GG09, Corollary 4.2] for a more general result). Matthew Stover kindly communicated the following proof.

Let F_0, F, D, σ be as above. The D-valued hermitian form h can be taken to be $h(x,y) = \sigma(x)y$ and the group of F_0-points of the F_0-group in question is

$$SU(D,\sigma)(F_0) = \{x \in D : \sigma(x)x = e,\ Nrd(x) = 1\} \subset D.$$

which gives us an $SU(2,1)$ as follows: choose an embedding $F \to \mathbb{C}$, use it to form $D \otimes_F \mathbb{C}$ which becomes isomorphic to the algebra $M(3,\mathbb{C})$ of three by three complex matrices, under an isomorphism (unique up to conjugation by Skolem-Noether), which takes σ to conjugate-transpose with respect to a hermitian form h'. Whenever all choices can be made so that h' has signature $(2,1)$ the group of real points of $SU(D,\sigma)$ becomes the standard $SU(2,1)$. The signature of the hermitian form h' depends just on D, σ and the embedding $F \to \mathbb{C}$.

Note that the F-algebra D is embedded in the algebra $M(3,\mathbb{C})$ by $x \to x \otimes 1$. The F-vector subspace of $M(3,\mathbb{C})$ generated by the subset $SU(D,\sigma)(F_0)$ is easily seen to be a σ-stable subalgebra of $M(3,\mathbb{C})$ contained in the division algebra D, hence it is itself a division algebra, and easily seen to equal D. Suppose H_{F_0} is an F_0-subgroup of $SU(D,\sigma)$ so that the corresponding inclusion of real points is a standard embedding of $SU(1,1)$ in $SU(2,1)$, all inside $M(3,\mathbb{C})$, and let V be the F-vector subspace of $M(3,\mathbb{C})$ generated by the F_0-points of H_{F_0}. This is a non-commutative division subalgebra of D, and it must be a proper subalgebra because $V \otimes_F \mathbb{C}$ is a proper subspace of $D \otimes_F \mathbb{C} = M(3,\mathbb{C})$. Since D has degree 3, it has no proper non-commutative F-subalgebras, so such subgroups cannot exist.

Classification of Shimura curves in Shimura surfaces of the first type: In this case there are always infinitely many Shimura curves. We continue the same notation, extend the hermitian form h on L^3 to $\mathbb{C}^3$ and interpret the unit ball $G_0/K_0 \cong \mathbb{B}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ as the collection of h-negative lines in $\mathbb{C}^3$. The Shimura curves in X arise as the quotient of totally geodesic disks $\mathbb{B}^1 \subset \mathbb{B}^2$ and such disks are in bijective correspondence with the h-positive lines. Namely, an h–positive line l determines the hermitian space $(\ell^\perp, h|_{l^\perp})$ of signature $(1,1)$, and the corresponding space of negative lines $\mathbb{B}^1_l \subset \mathbb{B}^2$. All geodesic disks arise this way. The groups G_ℓ, the stabilizer of ℓ (isomorphic to $U(1,1)$) and the subgroup H_l fixing l pointwise (isomorphic to $SU(1,1)$) act on $(\ell^\perp, h|_{l^\perp})$ and $\mathbb{B}^1_\ell$, both actions being transitive on

$\mathbb{B}^1_l$. The disk $\mathbb{B}^1_\ell$ projects to a Shimura curve in X. if and only if $H_\ell \cap \Gamma$ a lattice in H_ℓ, in turn:

Lemma 1.2. *The group $H_\ell \cap \Gamma$ is a lattice in H_ℓ if and only if ℓ is an F-rational line, that is, $\ell \cap F^3 \neq \{0\}$.*

Proof. Let $v \in \mathbb{C}^3$ be a basis vector for ℓ, and suppose that $\Gamma_\ell = H_\ell \cap \Gamma$ is a lattice in H_ℓ. Since Γ_ℓ leaves ℓ stable, v is an eigenvector for all $\gamma \in H_\ell \cap \Gamma$, in other words, there is a homomorphism $\lambda : \Gamma_\ell \to \mathrm{U}(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ so that $\gamma(v) = \lambda(\gamma)v$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_l$. Since Γ_ℓ leaves $\ell^\perp$ invariant, the remaining eigenvectors of any $\gamma \in \Gamma_\ell$ lie in $\ell^\perp$. Since the action of H_ℓ on $l^\perp$ is isomorphic to the standard action of $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)$ on $\mathbb{C}^2$ and Γ_ℓ is a lattice in H_ℓ, the commutator subgroup of Γ_l must contain hyperbolic elements. Fix such an element γ. Then $\lambda(\gamma) = 1$ and the remaining eigenvalues of γ are of absolute value $\neq 1$. Therefore 1 is a simple eigenvalue of γ, thus the space of solutions of $\gamma(v) = v$ is an F-rational line as asserted.

For the converse, suppose that ℓ is a rational line, and let $v \in \mathfrak{O}^3$ be a primitive vector which is a basis for ℓ. Let $M_0 = \mathfrak{O}v$ and $M_1 = v^\perp \cap \mathfrak{O}^3$ and let $M = M_0 \oplus M_1$. Then M is an $\mathfrak{O}$-submodule of finite index in $\mathfrak{O}^3$. Consequently, Γ is commensurable with $\Gamma' = \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SU}(h, \mathfrak{O}) : \gamma(M) = M\}$ and $\Gamma \cap H_l$ is commensurable with $\Gamma'_v = \{\gamma \in \Gamma' : \gamma(v) = v\}$, which is a lattice in the group $H_\ell = H_v = \{g \in G : g(v) = v\}$, a group defined over F_0, and isomorphic (over F_0) to $\mathrm{SU}(h|_{M_1 \otimes F})$. This group in turn is isomorphic over $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)$. Thus $\Gamma \cap H_\ell$ is a lattice in H_ℓ and we obtain a Shimura curve associated to the $\mathbb{Q}$-group $\mathrm{Res}_{F_0/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathrm{SU}(h|_{M_1 \otimes F}))$. □

End of proof of Proposition 1.1 : Choose an orthogonal basis v_1, v_2, v_3 for $\mathfrak{O}^3$ where $h(v_i) = a_i \bar{a}_i > 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, $h(v_3) = -a_3 \bar{a}_3 < 0$ and $v_1 \in \ell$. Let e_1, e_2, e_3 be the standard basis for $\mathbb{C}^3$, let $H = H_{e_1} \subset G$ be the subgroup, isomorphic to $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)$ that fixes e_1, and let $g \in G$ be the linear transformation that takes e_i to v_i/a_i. Then $gHg^{-1} = H_\ell$, therefore H_ℓ is as asserted in Proposition 1.1

Remark. From Lemma 1.2 we see that the collection of Shimura curves in X is parametrized by the Γ-equivalence classes of primitive positive vectors in $\mathfrak{O}^3$, that is, primitive vectors $v \in \mathfrak{O}^3$ with $h(v) > 0$. The collection of these equivalence classes is commensurable with $SU(h, F)\backslash\mathbb{P}(F^3)^+$, where $\mathbb{P}(F^3)^+$ denotes the space of h-positive lines in F^3. The class of $h(v)$ gives is a well-defined function $h : \mathbb{P}(F^3) \to F_0^*/N_{F/F_0}(F^*)$, the *norm residue group.* It can be checked that the class of $h(v)$ is a commensurability invariant and that it takes on infinitely many values, hence we get an infinite number of commensurability classes of subgroups of $SU(1,1)$. Observe that the matrix of the conjugating element g of Lemma 1.2 has entries in the finite field extension $F(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ of F.

The compact factors of G, necessary for the $\mathbb{Q}$-structure in the definition of a Shimura surface, play no role in the sequel. We thus simplify notation and write from now on G for G_0 and H for H_0.

Elliptic elements and cusps. The bounded negativity conjecture (BNC) originally is a question for smooth compact (projective) surfaces. If Γ is cocompact and torsion free, Shimura surfaces as defined above fall into the scope of this conjecture and the results in the introduction need no explanation.

Any arithmetic lattice contains a neat subgroup of finite index. Such subgroups are in particular torsion free. As quotients by a finite group, the Shimura surfaces come with a ($\mathbb{Q}$-valued) intersection theory. The BNC can be extended to such surfaces, and Theorem 0.1 needs no further explanation.

If Γ is cofinite but not cocompact, our proof of Theorem 0.1 gives a statement about the self-intersection number of the cohomology class of the Shimura curve projected to the complement of the cusp resolution cycles, as we will now explain.

We may suppose that Γ is a neat subgroup. Let X^{BB} be the minimal (Baily-Borel) compactification of $X = \Gamma\backslash G/K$. Since X is not isogenous to a product, $X^{\mathrm{BB}} \setminus X$ has codimension two and hence $H^2_c(X,\mathbb{Q}) \cong H^2(X^{\mathrm{BB}},\mathbb{Q})$. Let $\pi : Y \to X^{\mathrm{BB}}$ a (minimal) smooth resolution of the singularities at the cusps and $j : X \to Y$ the inclusion. We claim that

$$H^2(Y,\mathbb{Q}) = \pi^* H^2(X^{\mathrm{BB}},\mathbb{Q}) \oplus B, \tag{1}$$

where B is the subspace spanned by cusp resolution curves. Moreover, the direct sum is orthogonal and the intersection form on B is negative definite. This implies that the sum decomposition is compatible with Poincaré duality and this will make the arguments in Section 3 work in the non-compact case, too, see Theorem 3.6.

Our claims are stated for the Hilbert modular case in [vdG88, Section II.3 and Section VI.1]). In the case of a ball quotient a neighborhood W of the cusps in Y is disjoint union of disc bundles over tori, each sitting inside a line bundle of negative degree. It suffices show that $H_2(Y,\mathbb{Q}) = H_2(W,\mathbb{Q}) \oplus \mathrm{Im}(j_* : H_2(X,\mathbb{Q}) \to H_2(Y,\mathbb{Q}))$ and then apply duality. By Meyer-Vietoris, it suffices to show that $H_1(W \cap X,\mathbb{Q}) \to H_1(W,\mathbb{Q}) \oplus H_1(X,\mathbb{Q})$ is injective. This holds true, since the inclusion of a circle bundle into the corresponding disc bundle induces an injection the level of $H_1(\ ,\mathbb{Q})$.

We remark that the BNC (and intersection numbers in general) are very sensitive to blowups. We leave it to the reader to investigate if Theorem 0.1 also holds on Y.

Volume normalization. The Hermitian symmetric space G/K comes with a Kähler $(1,1)$-form ω that we normalize, say, so that the associated Riemannian metric has curvature attains the minimum -1. Then $\omega \wedge \omega$ provides a volume form on X and, consequently, also on the universal covering $\widetilde{X}$. We let $\mathrm{vol}(X)$ be the volume of the Shimura surface. Rescaling by the volume we obtain a probability measure ν_X on X induced from the volume form.

Shimura curves are totally geodesic subvarieties in X. Consequently, the restriction of ω is a Kähler form ω_C on C. We let $\mathrm{vol}(C) = \int_C \omega_C$ be the corresponding volume and ν_C the probability measure defined by ω_C.

We need to extend this to the quotients by smaller compact subgroups. Let $K' \subset G$ be a compact subgroup and $K'_H = K' \cap H$. Let ν_G be the Haar measure on G normalized so that the push-forward to G/K gives the above volume form on $\widetilde{X}$ and such that the fibers have volume one. From ν_G we obtain measures $\nu_{G/K'}$ on G/K' and finite measures $\nu_{\Gamma\backslash G/K'}$ on $X_{K'} = \Gamma\backslash G/K'$ with $\mathrm{vol}(X) = \mathrm{vol}(X_{K'})$.

Similarly we fix a normalization of a Haar measure ν_H on H by requiring that the fibers of $H \to H/K_H$ have volume one and that the push-forward to H/K_H is the volume form coming from the metric with curvature -1, as above.

In this way, given a Shimura curve $C = \Gamma\backslash\Gamma g H/K_H$, the push-forward of ν_H defines a finite measure $\nu_{C,K'}$ on the locally symmetric subspaces $C_{K'} = \Gamma\backslash\Gamma g H/K'_H$ inside $X_{K'}$ with $\mathrm{vol}(C_{K'}) = \mathrm{vol}(C)$.

2. Equidistribution

There are many sources in the literature that deduce equidistribution for Shimura curves from a Ratner type theorem (notably [CU05], [Ull07]). We need a slightly stronger equidistribution result, on $\Gamma\backslash G$ or on on $\Gamma\backslash G/K'$ for some (not necessarily maximal) compact subgroup K' of G rather than on the algebraic surface X. This follows along known lines from Ratner's result, or rather the version in [EMS96]. We give a proof avoiding technicalities on Shimura data and focussing on the surface case.

The references above contain as special case the following equidistribution

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that X is a Shimura surface. If $(C_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of pairwise different Shimura curves, then $\nu_{C_n} \to \nu_X$ weakly as $n \to \infty$.*

This is a special case of the following stronger result.

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose that $X = \Gamma\backslash G/K$ is a Shimura surface. Let $K' \subset K$ be a closed subgroup, and let $g_n \in G$ be a sequence of points so that the orbits $g_nH \subset G$ project to pairwise distinct Shimura curves C_n in X. Then on $X' = \Gamma\backslash G/K'$ the sequence of probability measures $\nu_{C_n,K'}$ converges weakly to $\nu_{\Gamma\backslash G/K'}$ as $n \to \infty$.*

Corollary 2.3. *Suppose that $X = \Gamma\backslash G/K$ is a Shimura surface. If $(C_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of pairwise different Shimura curves, then* $\mathrm{vol}(C_n) \to \infty$ *as $n \to \infty$.*

Proof of Corollary 2.3. With the above volume normalization, it suffices to prove the claim for the lifts of the Shimura curves C'_n to $X' = \Gamma\backslash G$. We apply the preceding proposition for $K' = \{e\}$. Equidistribution implies in particular that Shimura curves are dense, i.e. for any finite collection of open sets U_i, $i \in I$. there exists N_0 such that for $n > N_0$ the intersection $C_n \cap U_i$ is non-empty for all i. Since X' is foliated by H-orbits and ν is locally the product of ν_G and a transversal measure, it suffices to take for U_i sufficiently many open sets locally trivializing the foliation $U_i = V_i \times W_i$ with V_i an H-orbit, such that $\nu_H(V_i) = O(1)$ but the transversal measure of W_i is $O(1/n^2)$. Then we can fit $O(n)$ such sets into X and each time C_n intersects some U_i, it picks up a volume of $O(1)$. □

Proof of Proposition 2.2. We first observe that if the Proposition holds for $K' = \{e\}$, then it holds for any other $K' \subset K$. Namely, under the projection $\pi : X'' = \Gamma\backslash G \to X' = \Gamma\backslash G/K'$ we have, by the volume normalization above, that the push-forward measure satisfy $\pi_*(\nu_{X''}) = \nu_{X'}$ and $\pi_*(\nu_{C_n,e}) = \nu_{C_n,K'}$. Thus we will assume $K' = \{e\}$, and write simply ν'_n for $\nu_{C_n,e}$ and X' for $\Gamma\backslash G$.

The proof consists of two parts: 1. Prove that ν'_n has convergent subsequences ν'_{n_j}. 2. Prove that the limit of any convergent subsequence must be $\nu_{X'}$.

If Γ is co-compact, that is, X' is compact, then the space of probability measures on X' is compact in the weak * topology, so ν'_n has a convergent subsequence. If X is not compact, then a subsequence converges to a measure on the one point compactification $X' \cup \{\infty\}$, but these measures may "escape to infinity", say converge to the delta function at ∞. An example of this "escape of mass" is given in the introduction to [EMS97]. The main result there is that there is no escape of mass when the image of $Z(H)$ in X' is compact (where $Z(H)$ is the centralizer of H in G). More precisely, compactness of the image of $Z(H)$ in X' implies (see [EMS97, Theorem 1.1]) that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact subset $W \subset \Gamma\backslash G$ such that every H-orbit gives measure at least $1 - \varepsilon$ to W. Hence the sequence ν'_n indeed converges in the space of probability measures on X'.

In our situation $Z(H)$ itself is compact: it is finite in Case 1 and $U(1)$ in Case 2, thus we always have convergence, thereby proving (1). (Compactness of $Z(H)$ generally holds for Shimura varieties if one discards the obvious exception of product situations, see [Ull07].)

To prove (2) we may assume ν'_n converges weakly to a probability measure ν', we must prove $\nu' = \nu_{X'}$. This follows a pattern which is by now standard: (i) use, as in [EMS96], Ratner's theorem on unipotent flows to prove that ν is *algebraic*, i.e. supported on an L-orbit of some connected algebraic group $H \subseteq L \subseteq G$ that intersects Γ in a lattice. (ii) Prove $L = G$. We formulate (i) as the following lemma:

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose ν'_n converges weakly to ν'. Then there exists a closed connected subgroup L, $H \subset L \subset G$, such that ν' is an L-invariant measure supported on $\Gamma\backslash\Gamma cL$ for some $c \in G$ and such that $c^{-1}\Gamma c \cap L$ is a lattice in L. Moreover, there exists a sequence $x_n \in \Gamma g_nH$ converging to c and an n_0 such that cLc^{-1} contains the subgroup generated by $x_nHx_n^{-1}$ for $n \geq n_0$.*

We formulated this lemma following closely the wording of [EO06, Proposition 2.1] (see also [EMS96, Theorem 1.7]) because it can be proved from [MS95, Theorem 1.1] in same way. Namely, start from the fact that ν_n' is supported on the H-orbit $\Gamma\backslash\Gamma g_n H \subset \Gamma\backslash G$ which is isomorphic to $(g_n^{-1}\Gamma g_n \cap H)\backslash H$ and is H-invariant. Since $g_n^{-1}\Gamma g_n$ is a lattice in H, which, in our case, is locally isomorphic to $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$, we can choose a unipotent one-parameter subgroup $u(t)$ in H, apply the Moore ergodicity theorem, as in the proof of [EO06, Proposition 2.1], to show that ν_n' is an ergodic $u(t)$-invariant measure, thus checking that the first hypothesis of [MS95, Theorem 1.1] is satisfied. We continue, in this way, following the proof of [EO06, Proposition 2.1] until the proof of Lemma 2.4 is complete.

Finally the groups $x_n H x_n^{-1}$ cannot all be equal to H since this would give $\gamma_n \in \Gamma$ so that $g_n H g_n^{-1} = \gamma_n H \gamma_n^{-1}$, contradicting the hypothesis that the curves C_n are pairwise different. We conclude that $H \subsetneq L$ and thus $L = G$ by Lemma 2.5: □

Lemma 2.5. *Let (G,H) be as in Case One or Case Two. If L is a connected real Lie group with $H \subsetneq L \subset G$ and $\Gamma \cap L$ is a lattice in L, then $L = G$.*

Proof. This is easily verified on the level of Lie algebras. Since $\mathrm{Lie}(L)$ contains an element not in $\mathrm{Lie}(H)$, bracketing with suitable elements of $\mathrm{Lie}(H)$ allows to produce a generating set of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$. □

3. The current of integration of a Shimura curve

Any Shimura curve C, in fact any codimension one subvariety of the Shimura surface X, defines a closed $(1,1)$-current on X. On the other hand, the Shimura surfaces come with a natural $(1,1)$-form, the Kähler form ω. The aim of this section is to translate the equidistribution result (a convergence of measures) into a convergence statement for the classes of these currents, suitably normalized. We start with the compact case and explain at the end of this section the necessary modification in the noncompact case. Recall that a $(1,1)$-current on a complex surface X is a continuous linear functional on $A_c^{1,1}(X)$, the space of compactly supported $(1,1)$-forms on X. This space $(A_c^{1,1}(X))^\vee$ contains both the complex curves $C \subset X$ and the smooth forms $\eta \in A^{1,1}(X)$ by the formulas

$$C \to (\alpha \to \int_C \alpha), \quad \eta \to (\alpha \to \int_X \eta \wedge \alpha) \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in A_c^{1,1}(X).$$

The cohomology of X can be computed either from the complex of forms or from the complex of currents. Recall also that, if X is Kähler, ω denotes the Kähler form, $\mathrm{vol}(X) = \int_X \omega \wedge \omega$, that $\omega_C = \omega_X|_C$ is the Kähler form on C and $\mathrm{vol}(C) = \int_C \omega_C$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $X = \Gamma\backslash G/K$ be a smooth Shimura surface, let $g_n \in G$ be any sequence of points such that the Shimura curves $C_n = \Gamma\backslash\Gamma g_n H/K$ are pairwise distinct. Then*

$$C_n/\,\mathrm{vol}(C_n) \to \omega \quad \textit{in} \quad A_c^{1,1}(X)^\vee, \quad \textit{hence in} \quad H^{1,1}(X).$$

This and the finite-dimensionality of the Picard group allows to deduce our main result.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $X = \Gamma\backslash G/K$ be a compact, smooth Shimura surface, let $g_n \in G$ be any sequence of points such that the Shimura curves $C_n = \Gamma\backslash g_m H/K$ are pairwise distinct. Then*

$$C_n^2 \sim \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash\Gamma g_n H)^2 \quad \textit{for} \quad n \to \infty.$$

In particular for any M, there are only finitely many Shimura curves C on X with $C^2 < M$.

Proof. For the first statement, fix a basis $\gamma_0 = \omega, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s$ of $H^{1,1}(X)$. Taking γ_i for $i > 1$ orthogonal to γ_0, we may suppose that the dual basis is $\lambda^{-1}\omega = \gamma_0^\vee, \gamma_1^\vee, \dots, \gamma_s^\vee$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, in fact $\lambda = \int_X \omega \wedge \omega = \mathrm{vol}(X)$. If C is a curve in X, thus representing a $(1,1)$-class, the Poincaré dual is represented by

$$\mathrm{PD}(C) = \sum_{i=0}^{s} \Big(\int_C \gamma_i\Big)\ \gamma_i^\vee.$$

Consequently, by Proposition 3.1

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{A_n^2} C_n \cdot C_n = \frac{1}{A_n^2}\int_{C_n} \mathrm{PD}(C_n) &= \sum_{i=0}^{s}\Big(\frac{1}{A_n}\int_{C_n}\gamma_i\Big)\Big(\frac{1}{A_n}\int_{C_n}\gamma_i^\vee\Big) \\ \longrightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{s}\Big(\int_X \omega\wedge\gamma_i\Big)\Big(\int_X \omega\wedge\gamma_i^\vee\Big) &= \lambda^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The second statement follows from the first and from Corollary 2.3. □

Integrating on the projectivized tangent bundle. We now prepare for the proof of Proposition 3.1. For this purpose we work on the universal cover $\widetilde{X} = G/K$ of X. First of all, for any (two-dimensional) Kähler manifold X here is a natural map

$$\mathbb{P}T\widetilde{X} \to \Lambda_{1,1}T\widetilde{X} = (\Lambda^{1,1}T^*\widetilde{X})^\vee$$

defined pointwise at any $x \in \widetilde{X}$ by $[v] \mapsto v \wedge \bar{v}/|v|^2$ for $v \in T_x\widetilde{X} \setminus \{0\}$. Dually, an element $\alpha \in (\Lambda^{1,1}T^*\widetilde{X})$ defines a real-valued function

$$\varphi_\alpha : \mathbb{P}T\widetilde{X} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \varphi_\alpha([v]) = \alpha\Big(\frac{v\wedge\bar{v}}{|v|^2}\Big).$$

Using this map we can write the intersection with α as an integral of a real-valued function against the volume form of $\mathbb{P}TX$. In Case Two $\mathbb{P}T\widetilde{X} = G/K'$ is a homogeneous space with an invariant volume, where $K' = \mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$. In Case One we will need to pass to a G-invariant real sub-bundle of $\mathbb{P}T\widetilde{X}$ also of the form G/K' for $K' = \mathrm{U}(1)$.

We start with Case Two. Recall that we scaled the Kähler form ω so that $\mathrm{vol}(X) = \int_X \omega\wedge\omega$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let X be a two-dimensional Kähler manifold, choose a two from η on $\mathbb{P}TX$ that restricts to the area form η_x of each fiber $\mathbb{P}T_xX$, $x \in X$, scaled to give total area one to each fiber. Then, for all $(1,1)$-forms α on X and for each $x \in X$ we have*

$$(\omega\wedge\alpha)_x = \Big(\int_{\mathbb{P}T_xX}\varphi_\alpha\eta_x\Big)(\omega\wedge\omega)_x.$$

Therefore we have

$$\int_X \omega\wedge\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{P}TX}\varphi_\alpha\ \eta\wedge\omega\wedge\omega,$$

where we have written simply ω for the pull-back to $\mathbb{P}TX$ of the form ω on X.

Proof. In suitable local coordinates at x, the Kähler form at x is $\omega_x = \frac{i}{2}(dz_1\wedge d\bar{z}_1 + dz_2\wedge d\bar{z}_2)$. Writing $\alpha = \frac{i}{2}\sum \alpha_{i\bar{j}}dz_i\wedge d\bar{z}_j$, we have (suppressing the factors of $\frac{i}{2}$)

$$(\omega\wedge\alpha)_x = (\alpha_{1,\bar{1}} + \alpha_{2,\bar{2}})(dz_1\wedge d\bar{z}_1\wedge dz_2\wedge d\bar{z}_2) = \frac{\alpha_{1,\bar{1}}+\alpha_{2,\bar{2}}}{2}(\omega\wedge\omega)_x.$$

On the other hand, if we let e_1, e_2 denote the basis for T_xX dual to dz^1, dz^2, and write $v = v_1e_1 + v_2e_2 \in T_xX$, the first factor of the right hand side is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \alpha\Big(\frac{(v_1e_1+v_2e_2)\wedge\overline{(v_1e_1+v_2e_2)}}{|v_1|^2+|v_2|^2}\Big)\eta_x \\
&= \alpha_{1\bar{1}}\int_{\mathbb{P}^1}\frac{|v_1|^2}{|v_1|^2+|v_2|^2}\eta_x + \alpha_{2\bar{2}}\int_{\mathbb{P}^1}\frac{|v_2|^2}{|v_1|^2+|v_2|^2}\eta_x + \int_{\mathbb{P}^1}\frac{2\mathrm{Im}\,(\alpha_{1\bar{2}}\, v_1\bar{v}_2)}{|v_1|^2+|v_2|^2}\eta_x.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3}
$$

By symmetry reasons the last integral vanishes and the first two are equal and add to 1, hence the first statement of the lemma. The second follows from the first and Fubini's Theorem. □

Remark. The first statement in the Lemma is equivalent to the well-known fact in linear algebra that the trace of a Hermitian matrix equals the average value over the unit sphere of the associated Hermitian form.

Corollary 3.4. *It X is a Shimura surface covered by the ball, then for all $(1,1)$-forms α on X we have*

$$\int_X \omega\wedge\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{P}TX}\varphi_\alpha\; d\nu_{\Gamma\backslash G/K'}$$

where $\nu_{\Gamma\backslash G/K'}$ is the volume form on $\mathbb{P}TX$ introduced above.

Proof. If $\widetilde{X} = \mathbb{B}^2 = G/K$, then $\eta\wedge\omega\wedge\omega$ in Lemma 3.3 is a G-invariant volume form on $\mathbb{P}T\widetilde{X}$. Moreover, ω and η have been scaled to give the correct normalization. □

Now we address the corresponding statement in Case One. If the Shimura surface X is covered by $\mathbb{H}^2$, then $\mathbb{P}T\widetilde{X}$ is no longer a homogeneous space for G, but it has some natural homogeneous sub-bundles. Equivalently, the action of K on $\mathbb{P}T_x\widetilde{X} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ is not transitive, but has some distinguished orbits: two zero-dimensional orbits, corresponding to the tangents to the two factors of $\mathbb{H}^2$, and an orbit of real dimension one corresponding to the graphs of isometries between the two factors. Explicitly, if we choose coordinates z_1, z_2 a above, this time adapted to the product structure of $\widetilde{X}$, and with dual basis e_1, e_2 each tangent to one of the factors, and writing $v = v_1e_1 + v_2e_2$ as above, the action of $K \cong \mathrm{U}(1)\times\mathrm{U}(1)$ on $\mathbb{P}T_x\widetilde{X} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ leaves invariant the points with homogeneous coordinates $(1:0)$ and $(0:1)$ and the real submanifold $\{(v_1:v_2) : |v_1| = |v_2|\} = \{(1:e^{i\theta})\} \cong S^1$.

Let us call this submanifold $\mathbb{S}T_x\widetilde{X}$ and let $\mathbb{S}T\widetilde{X} \cong G/K'$ denote the corresponding bundle over $\widetilde{X} \cong G/K$ with fiber $K/K' \cong \mathbb{S}T_x\widetilde{X} \cong S^1$. Then a calculation just as in the proof of Lemma 3.3 gives us:

Lemma 3.5. *Let X be a Shimura surface covered by $\mathbb{H}^2$, choose a one form η on $\mathbb{S}TX$ that restricts to the angle form $\eta_x = d\theta$ of each fiber $\mathbb{S}T_xX$, scaled to give total area one to each fiber. Then, for any $(1,1)$ form α on X and for each $x\in X$ we have*

$$(\omega\wedge\alpha)_x = \Big(\int_{\mathbb{S}T_xX}\varphi_\alpha\eta_x\Big)(\omega\wedge\omega)_x.$$

Therefore we have

$$\int_X \omega\wedge\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{S}TX}\varphi_\alpha\;\eta\wedge\omega\wedge\omega = \int_{\mathbb{S}TX}\varphi_\alpha\; d\nu_{\Gamma\backslash G/K'},$$

where $\nu_{\Gamma\backslash G/K'}$ is the volume form on $\mathbb{S}TX$ introduced above.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. To show convergence in $H^{1,1}(X)$ it suffices to show that

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(C_n)}\int_{C_n}\alpha \to \int_X \omega\wedge\alpha$$

for any $\alpha \in H^{1,1}(X)$. In Case Two, by Corollary 3.4 it suffices to show that

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(C_n)} \int_{C_n} \alpha \to \int_{\mathbb{P}TX} \varphi_\alpha d\nu_{\Gamma\backslash G/K'}.$$

A local verification, just using the definition of φ_α and the fact that $\nu_{C_n,K'}$ was defined to give measure one to the fibers K/K' implies that $\int_{C_n} \alpha = \int_{\mathbb{P}TC_n} \varphi_\alpha d\nu_{C_n,K'}$. Since $\nu_{C_n,K'}$ is supported on $\mathbb{P}TC_n \subset \mathbb{P}TX$, it is thus sufficient to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}TX} \varphi_\alpha d\nu_{C_n,K'} \to \int_{\mathbb{P}TX} \varphi_\alpha d\nu_{\Gamma\backslash G/K'}.$$

We have reformulated our claim in terms of a convergence of measures, integrating against a globally defined function φ_α. Proposition 2.2 completes the proof. In Case One, the proof is the same, replacing $\mathbb{P}TX$ by $\mathbb{S}TX$ and the the reference to Corollary 3.4 by Lemma 3.5. □

The non-compact case. Recall that we denoted by Y a minimal resolution of the singularities of the Baily-Borel compactification $X^{\mathbb{B}}$. By [Mum77, Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 1.1] the Kähler class ω extends to a closed current on Y. Moreover $\omega \in \pi^* H^2(X^{\mathbb{B}}, \mathbb{Q})$ by [Mum77, Proposition 3.4 (b)]. The statement of Proposition 3.1 now reads

$$p_{B^\perp}(C_n)/\,\mathrm{vol}(C_n) \to \omega \quad \text{in} \quad \pi^* H^2(X^{\mathbb{B}}, \mathbb{Q}),$$

where $p_B^\perp$ is the orthogonal projection onto the complement of B. The same proof as above works. In order to show the analog

$$(p_{B^\perp} C_n)^2 \sim \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash\Gamma g_n H)^2 \quad \text{for} \quad n \to \infty$$

of Corollary 3.2 we apply the Poincaré duality to $\pi^* H^2(X^{\mathbb{B}}, \mathbb{Q})$. Since this is a perfect pairing, the proof of Corollary 3.2 applies without changes:

Theorem 3.6. *For X as above and for any real number M there are only finitely many Shimura curves C on X with $(p_{B^\perp} C)^2 < M$.*

In particular, for the collection of compact Shimura curves in X we obtain Theorem 0.1.

References

[BHK+13] Thomas Bauer, Brian Harbourne, Andreas Leopold Knutsen, Alex Küronya, Stefan Müller-Stach, Xavier Roulleau, and Tomasz Szemberg. Negative curves on algebraic surfaces. *Duke Math. J.*, 162(10):1877–1894, 2013.

[CU05] Laurent Clozel and Emmanuel Ullmo. Équidistribution de sous-variétés spéciales. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 161(3):1571–1588, 2005.

[EMS96] Alex Eskin, Shahar Mozes, and Nimish Shah. Unipotent flows and counting lattice points on homogeneous varieties. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 143(2):253–299, 1996.

[EMS97] A. Eskin, S. Mozes, and N. Shah. Non-divergence of translates of certain algebraic measures. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 7(1):48–80, 1997.

[EO06] Alex Eskin and Hee Oh. Ergodic theoretic proof of equidistribution of Hecke points. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 26(1):163–167, 2006.

[GG09] Skip Garibaldi and Philippe Gille. Algebraic groups with few subgroups. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 80(2):405–430, 2009.

[Gra02] Hakan Granath. *On Quaternionic Shimura Surfaces.* PhD thesis, Chalmers University, Göteborg, 2002.

[HZ76] F. Hirzebruch and D. Zagier. Intersection numbers of curves on Hilbert modular surfaces and modular forms of Nebentypus. *Invent. Math.*, 36:57–113, 1976.

[Kud78] Stephen S. Kudla. Intersection numbers for quotients of the complex 2-ball and Hilbert modular forms. *Invent. Math.*, 47(2):189–208, 1978.

[MS95] Shahar Mozes and Nimish Shah. On the space of ergodic invariant measures of unipotent flows. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 15(1):149–159, 1995.

[Mum77] D. Mumford. Hirzebruch's proportionality theorem in the noncompact case. *Invent. Math.*, 42:239–272, 1977.

[PR94] Vladimir Platonov and Andrei Rapinchuk. *Algebraic groups and number theory*, volume 139 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1994. Translated from the 1991 Russian original by Rachel Rowen.

[Ser94] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Cohomologie galoisienne*, volume 5 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, fifth edition, 1994.

[Tit66] J. Tits. Classification of algebraic semisimple groups. In *Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Boulder, Colo., 1965)*, pages 33–62. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1966, 1966.

[Ull07] Emmanuel Ullmo. Equidistribution de sous-variétés spéciales. II. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 606:193–216, 2007.

[vdG88] G. van der Geer. *Hilbert Modular Surfaces*, volume 16 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3)*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.

[Vig80] Marie-France Vignéras. *Arithmétique des algèbres de quaternions*, volume 800 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 1980.